IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Re. Application of

Petri AHONEN

Serial No. 10/517,001

Filed May 31, 2005

:

Group Art Unit: 2184

Confirmation No.: 8749

Examiner: Hyun NAM

For:

Method and Apparatus for Programming Updates From a Network Unit to

Mobile Device

Mail Stop: Appeal Brief — Patents

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

REPLY BRIEF

Sir:

Appellant received an Examiner's Answer dated 8 May 2009. Applicant subsequently received a correction to the Examiner's Answer dated 15 June 2009. Applicant is now filing a Reply Brief within two months from 8 May 2009 (although it appears that Applicant would be entitled to file a Reply Brief within two months from 15 June 2009).

If any fee and/or extension is required in addition to any enclosed herewith, please charge Account No. 23-0442.

I hereby certify that this paper is being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on the date shown below with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop Appeal Briefs-Patents, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, Villa 313-1450.

1 SUMMA

Lissette Ramos

Attorney Docket No.: 915-013.005 Application Serial No.: 10/517,001

<u>REMARKS</u>

At page 12 of the Examiner's Answer, last sentence, the Examiner purports to quote from Paragraph 18 of *Toyoshima*, but that quote is not correct. Applicant respectfully submits that the quote in the last sentence at page 12 of the Examiner's Answer is not supported by Paragraph 18 of *Toyoshima*. Paragraph 18 of *Toyoshima* does not say: "updating a primary code (a firmware) of a NAND Flash of a wireless module (a mobile device)."

Figure 2 of *Toyoshima* is described at Paragraph 11 of *Toyoshima*: "for updating code utilizing wireless module 200 (shown in FIG. 1) to the peripheral device." This is the *opposite* of the present claim 1, which is for "updating a firmware of a mobile device" using an external memory unit. Nothing in Paragraph 18 of *Toyoshima* differs from what is stated in Paragraph 11 of *Toyoshima*.

The Examiner's Answer at page 13 has highlighted "and/or the peripheral device without the awareness or any operation of the user." Applicant respectfully points out that this highlighted material involves a step that occurs "after the code update process" according to Paragraph 18 of *Toyoshima*. Therefore, this highlighted material does not teach or suggest anything about the updating of the firmware of the mobile device.

Attorney Docket No.: 915-013.005 Application Serial No.: 10/517,001

Applicant incorporates herein by reference the other arguments contained in the Appeal Brief.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: July 8, 2009

Attorney for the Appellant Registration No. 27,550

Alfred A. Fressola

Ware, Fressola, Van Der Sluys & Adolphson LLP 755 Main Street, P.O. Box 224 Monroe, CT 06468 Telephone: (203) 261-1234

Facsimile: (203) 261-5676 USPTO Customer No. 004955